UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,395	06/12/2006	Lutz Rapp	112740-1140	6035
87133 Dickinson Wrig	7590 02/04/201 tht, PLLC	EXAMINER		
1875 Eye Street		WOLDEKIDAN, HIBRET ASNAKE		
Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kspivak@dickinsonwright.com awilson@dickinsonwright.com cvphillips@dickinsonwright.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/596,395	RAPP, LUTZ			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hibret A. Woldekidan	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1			
This application is abandoned in view of:		•			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M periods and M periods are proper reply to the Office of M periods are proper reply to the Office of M periods are proper reply to the Office of M periods are proper reply to the Office of M periods are proper reply to the Office of M periods are proper reply to the Office of M periods are proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a period for proper reply was received on, but it does not be a period for proper reply was received on, but it does not be a period for proper reply was received on, but it does not be a period for proper reply was received on, but it does not be a period of the period for proper reply was received on, but it does not be a period for proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>					
after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
The applicant attorney confirmed on 1/28/10 that the	e case has been abandoned				
/Kenneth N Vanderpuye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2613					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed					